Rate the coordination between lectures and laboratories in this course?
Mean: 4.69


Rate how well the laboratories helped you to understand the subject matter of the course.
Mean: 4.69


Rate how clear and understandable written lab instructions were.
Mean: 4.50


Rate the feasibility of completing the lab exercises in the time allotted.
Mean: 3.75


What overall rating would you give to the laboratory?
Mean: 4.50


Rate the lab instructor's knowledge of the material.


Rate the lab instructor's preparation for the lab.
Mean: 4.94


Rate the instructor's ability to provide additional assistance.
Mean: 4.93


Rate the instructor's overall effectiveness.
Mean: 4.88


## Item Analysis Graph Report

## Responses 1



Mean: 4.69

## Responses3



Mean: 4.50

## Responses 5



| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 0.00 |
| Very Good | 50.00 |
| Outstanding | 50.00 |

Mean: 4.50

## Responses7



Mean: 4.94

| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 0.00 |
| Very Good | 31.25 |
| Outstanding | 68.75 |

Responses2


| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 12.50 |
| Very Good | 6.25 |
| Outstanding | 81.25 |

Mean: 4.69

Responses 4


| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 12.50 |
| Fair | 6.25 |
| Good | 0.00 |
| Very Good | 56.25 |
| Outstanding | 25.00 |

Mean: 3.75

Responses 6


| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 0.00 |
| Very Good | 25.00 |
| Outstanding | 75.00 |

Mean: 4.75

## Responses8



Mean: 4.93

## Responses 9



| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 0.00 |
| Very Good | 12.50 |
| Outstanding | 87.50 |

Mean: 4.88

## Condensed Item Analysis Report

Rate the coordination between lectures and laboratories in this course?

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.69 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 5 | 31.25 |  |
| Outstanding | 11 | 68.75 |  |

Rate how clear and understandable written lab instructions were.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 8 | 50.00 |  |
| Outstanding | 8 | 50.00 |  |

What overall rating would you give to the laboratory?

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 8 | 50.00 |  |
| Outstanding | 8 | 50.00 |  |

Rate the lab instructor's preparation for the lab.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.94 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 1 | 6.25 | $\square$ |
| Outstanding | 15 | 93.75 |  |
| No Response | 0 | 0.00 |  |

Rate the instructor's overall effectiveness.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.88 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 2 | 12.50 |  |
| Outstanding | 14 | 87.50 |  |

Rate how well the laboratories helped you to understand the subject matter of the course.

| Response | Frequency | Percent |  | Mean: $\mathbf{4 . 6 9}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |  |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |  |
| Good | 2 | 12.50 |  |  |
| Very Good | 1 | 6.25 |  |  |
| Outstanding | 13 | 81.25 |  |  |

Rate the feasibility of completing the lab exercises in the time allotted.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 3.75 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 2 | 12.50 |  |  |
| Fair | 1 | 6.25 |  |  |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Very Good | 9 | 56.25 |  |  |
| Outstanding | 4 | 25.00 |  |  |

Rate the lab instructor's knowledge of the material.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.75 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 4 | 25.00 |  |
| Outstanding | 12 | 75.00 |  |

Rate the instructor's ability to provide additional assistance.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.93 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 1 | 6.25 | $\square$ |
| Outstanding | 14 | 87.50 | $\square$ |
| No Response | 1 | 6.25 |  |

## Basic Item Analysis Report

| Question | Mean | Response Frequencies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Poor | Fair | Good | Very | Outst |
| Responses1 | 4.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 31.25 | 68.75 |
| Responses2 | 4.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 6.25 | 81.25 |
| Responses3 | 4.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| Responses4 | 3.75 | 12.50 | 6.25 | 0.00 | 56.25 | 25.00 |
| Responses5 | 4.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| Responses6 | 4.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 25.00 | 75.00 |
| Responses7 | 4.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.25 | 93.75 |
| Responses8 | 4.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.25 | 87.50 |
| Responses9 | 4.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.50 | 87.50 |

Rate the coordination between lectures and laboratories in this course?
Mean: 4.80


Rate how well the laboratories helped you to understand the subject matter of the course.
Mean: 4.87


Rate how clear and understandable written lab instructions were.
Mean: 4.47


Rate the feasibility of completing the lab exercises in the time allotted.
Mean: 3.87


What overall rating would you give to the laboratory?
Mean: 4.60


Rate the lab instructor's knowledge of the material.
Mean: 4.93


Rate the lab instructor's preparation for the lab.
Mean: 4.87


Rate the instructor's ability to provide additional assistance.
Mean: 4.80


Rate the instructor's overall effectiveness.
Mean: 4.93


## Item Analysis Graph Report

## Responses 1



Mean: 4.80

## Responses3



Mean: 4.47

## Responses 5



Mean: 4.60

## Responses7



Mean: 4.87

| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 0.00 |
| Very Good | 20.00 |
| Outstanding | 80.00 |

## Mean: 4.87

## Responses 4



| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 40.00 |
| Very Good | 33.33 |
| Outstanding | 26.67 |

Mean: 3.87

Responses 6


| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 0.00 |
| Very Good | 6.67 |
| Outstanding | 93.33 |

Mean: 4.93

## Responses8



| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 0.00 |
| Very Good | 20.00 |
| Outstanding | 80.00 |

## Responses 9



Mean: 4.93

## Condensed Item Analysis Report

Rate the coordination between lectures and laboratories in this course?

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.80 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 3 | 20.00 |  |
| Outstanding | 12 | 80.00 |  |

Rate how clear and understandable written lab instructions were.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.47 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 8 | 53.33 |  |
| Outstanding | 7 | 46.67 |  |

What overall rating would you give to the laboratory?

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 6 | 40.00 |  |
| Outstanding | 9 | 60.00 |  |

Rate the lab instructor's preparation for the lab.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.87 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 2 | 13.33 | $\square$ |
| Outstanding | 13 | 86.67 |  |

Rate how well the laboratories helped you to understand the subject matter of the course.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.87 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 2 | 13.33 | $\square$ |
| Outstanding | 13 | 86.67 |  |

Rate the feasibility of completing the lab exercises in the time allotted.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 3.87 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |  |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Good | 6 | 40.00 |  |  |
| Very Good | 5 | 33.33 |  |  |
| Outstanding | 4 | 26.67 |  |  |

Rate the lab instructor's knowledge of the material.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.93 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 1 | 6.67 | $\square$ |
| Outstanding | 14 | 93.33 |  |

Rate the instructor's ability to provide additional assistance.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.80 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 3 | 20.00 |  |
| Outstanding | 12 | 80.00 |  |

Rate the instructor's overall effectiveness.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.93 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 1 | 6.67 | $\square$ |
| Outstanding | 14 | 93.33 |  |

## Basic Item Analysis Report

| Question | Mean | Response Frequencies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Poor | Fair | Good | Very | Outst |
| Responses1 | 4.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 80.00 |
| Responses2 | 4.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.33 | 86.67 |
| Responses3 | 4.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 53.33 | 46.67 |
| Responses4 | 3.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 33.33 | 26.67 |
| Responses5 | 4.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40.00 | 60.00 |
| Responses6 | 4.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.67 | 93.33 |
| Responses7 | 4.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.33 | 86.67 |
| Responses8 | 4.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 80.00 |
| Responses9 | 4.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.67 | 93.33 |

Rate the coordination between lectures and laboratories in this course?
Mean: 4.79


Rate how well the laboratories helped you to understand the subject matter of the course.
Mean: 4.86


Rate how clear and understandable written lab instructions were.
Mean: 4.43


Rate the feasibility of completing the lab exercises in the time allotted.
Mean: 4.00


What overall rating would you give to the laboratory?
Mean: 4.64


Rate the lab instructor's knowledge of the material.
Mean: 4.86


Rate the lab instructor's preparation for the lab.
Mean: 4.93


Rate the instructor's ability to provide additional assistance.
Mean: 5.00


Rate the instructor's overall effectiveness.
Mean: 4.86


## Item Analysis Graph Report

## Responses 1



Mean: 4.79

## Responses3



Mean: 4.43

## Responses5



Mean: 4.64

## Responses7



Mean: 4.93

| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 0.00 |
| Very Good | 21.43 |
| Outstanding | 78.57 |

Responses2


| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 0.00 |
| Very Good | 14.29 |
| Outstanding | 85.71 |

Mean: 4.86

Responses 4


| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 21.43 |
| Very Good | 57.14 |
| Outstanding | 21.43 |

Mean: 4.00

Responses 6


| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 0.00 |
| Very Good | 14.29 |
| Outstanding | 85.71 |

Mean: 4.86

## Responses8



| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 0.00 |
| Very Good | 0.00 |
| Outstanding | 100.00 |

## Responses 9



| Response | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Poor | 0.00 |
| Fair | 0.00 |
| Good | 0.00 |
| Very Good | 14.29 |
| Outstanding | 85.71 |

Mean: 4.86

## Condensed Item Analysis Report

Rate the coordination between lectures and laboratories in this course?

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.79 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 3 | 21.43 |  |
| Outstanding | 11 | 78.57 |  |

Rate how clear and understandable written lab instructions were.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.43 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |  |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Good | 1 | 7.14 |  |  |
| Very Good | 6 | 42.86 |  |  |
| Outstanding | 7 | 50.00 |  |  |

What overall rating would you give to the laboratory?

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.64 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 5 | 35.71 |  |
| Outstanding | 9 | 64.29 |  |

Rate the lab instructor's preparation for the lab.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.93 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 1 | 7.14 | $\square$ |
| Outstanding | 13 | 92.86 |  |

Rate how well the laboratories helped you to understand the subject matter of the course.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.86 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 2 | 14.29 |  |
| Outstanding | 12 | 85.71 |  |

Rate the feasibility of completing the lab exercises in the time allotted.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.00 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |  |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Good | 3 | 21.43 |  |  |
| Very Good | 8 | 57.14 |  |  |
| Outstanding | 3 | 21.43 |  |  |

Rate the lab instructor's knowledge of the material.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.86 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 2 | 14.29 |  |
| Outstanding | 12 | 85.71 |  |

Rate the instructor's ability to provide additional assistance.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 5.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Outstanding | 14 | 100.00 |  |

Rate the instructor's overall effectiveness.

| Response | Frequency | Percent | Mean: 4.86 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poor | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Fair | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Good | 0 | 0.00 | $\square$ |
| Very Good | 2 | 14.29 |  |
| Outstanding | 12 | 85.71 |  |

## Basic Item Analysis Report

| Question | Mean | Response Frequencies |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Poor | Fair | Good | Very | Outst |
| Responses1 | 4.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.43 | 78.57 |
| Responses2 | 4.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 85.71 |
| Responses3 | 4.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.14 | 42.86 | 50.00 |
| Responses4 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 21.43 | 57.14 | 21.43 |
| Responses5 | 4.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 35.71 | 64.29 |
| Responses6 | 4.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 85.71 |
| Responses7 | 4.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.14 | 92.86 |
| Responses8 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| Responses9 | 4.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14.29 | 85.71 |

Heaghan is extremely knowledgeable of the material and $150 \%$ willing to help students move easily understand the material. Great lab instructor and anthropology ut

Meaghan is a great, Knowledgable mstructor! Thank you for all your help this sernesté Megan

Perhaps a bit more "teaching" of the class ln lab us all get the tips/ Wells lar siding. identifumy, etc.

Measan isso knouledgarke + helpali: would ve pretu wost What hen she istwase a valabile to hotp ogwe tips on things lue slding tremenbeny names. She's awesone!

Very quad jul!

1 really enjoyed this $1 a b$ and 1 found it very urea and equeatumal. very effective!

Meaghan, your ability to help others in different ways is outstanding. Thank you for all your help this kermes ster.

I had fun $\because$

Meagnan was SO helpful! she always knew the material and had awesome tips and tricks for remembering the bones.
Overall, neat lab experience!

Meashan is extrenty knouledicable onie eazy to tak to.
Sue iulps in aywang possible and I am hapy she follow was my lab instructer

Thought that Meaghan was an excellent lab instructor for this course. Verey knouledgable, was happy to ensures. any questions $l$ had. trope that she continues to instruct the lab portion of this cousae.
alevayd took time, very informative. Would love to have Meaghan at a dab instructor again

Hegham is aluruys by to help deafy nowrmation ond take the time to


Awesome Lab!!! I Leaned aloft and it was very interesting

Lab was interesting and supplemented lecture material well, -same of the pictures within the lab manual, were somewhat vague, but aural they were effecture.
very good LA. Lab was decent, but ear some of the content was not trot interesting, like siding carpals
overall, the labs were effective of helping me learn, especially With how this course is assigned- memory based.
There is not enough time, however, to complete the timed lab exams. There should be time left at the end to 90 to a station you were unsure of. I felt if I had this option, I could have prowichd better answers.

Would be nice to the a bit longer, apart from that really enjoyed $1+$ !




Meaghan was very happy and eager to help. She enjoyed relating individual bores to how it articulated in the Body; I appreciated this perspective.

More open lab time each week culch be improved.

Thanks Meghan for an awesome semester? You were great at assisting in lab!

The labs should be longer $(25 \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{hrs})$, more practice questions! More open lab before the final

My only wish was that we could somehow get more lob time. It would be really nee a their was ~bhe of open lab t ae Monday-Wednesday to look al stuff from the week before I realize this may not be possible (budget, personnel, lab space etc.) But is undoubtedly the largest issue of the lab at the moment.

Grant lab. loved covergthin, wish we had more the cs quiver, yto cheek spetingeject. extra 10 seconds at each, or cued Ssecencs,
lin the person wino AWAY needs more tome to look and think through things. but other wisc, labs are/were a quintessential part of how I learned the features/ grooves etc.
Meaghan, 400 are very easy to talk to and I enjoyed all the quirkiness and ease of year answess

Tram you so math?

An awesome lab! Meeghan was so great and superhelpfol! The only thing I have to say is that the diagrams on the la b Luandats aren't super leselth helphe at lost) with accurate labelling so 1 didn't really ese them for other.

Meaghan is the best! she is the best $T A$ I have had that \& with explaining concepts and supporting learning, made the lab thouroughly enjoyable and something I looked forward to.

Thank you for all of your help meaghan!
I thought this course was very hard/ overwhelming and you definitely made it easter / more manageable to tackle the large volume of material.
$\rightarrow$ Meaghan was extremely helpful in translating sections of the textbook that were needlessly complicated, particularly in the sections that described how to side bones.

Thank Meg $!$

Lave the oppstunity to be abse, to waxk with real ske leton nemains. Haye aspret of thes course!
Meaghan was awesme to wisk with '

Meaghan is an effective and wonderful TA. She has been able to educate ne note only on the course material, but What the real world applications of some of the subject matter we are covering and how to proceed towards my career goals. Thank you for a wonder fol semester.

Meaghan is extremely helpful in helping us to understand bones and bone features, especially by putting them in context, e.g. pointing out where you can feel certain features in your body.

I also appreciate that she encourages us not to be so stressed out during stressful lab exams. I know a lot of people have test anxiety, so this is helpful in a really tangible way.
I wish there could be more lab time (e.g. the open labs before the mid term were very crowded, a couple more hours would have helped)

